



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,023	07/11/2001	Jack R. Wands	21486-032DIV1	3871
30623	7590 03/29/2005		EXAMINER	
	EVIN, COHN, FERRIS,	YU, MISOOK		
AND POPE	O, P.C. NCIAL CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA 02111			1642	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/29/2004	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/903,023	WANDS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief							
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	MISOOK YU, Ph.D.	1642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The project for the majing months from the majing the state of the majing months.	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, wal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR or ereply must be filed within one of t	vhich places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate and the reply was filed on 28 February 2005. A brief in compliance with Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	h 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within reof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dis	n two months of the d smissal of the appeal	ate of filing the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	* **		(DTOL 204)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	vided below or appended.		•				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>8</u> , <u>45</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-7,39,40,45,51,52 and 55-59</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a North date of the affidate of the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
<u> </u>	fry dru	mil					
	DRY PATENT EXAMINER	MS Misook Yu, 3-24-20	005				
COI LIVEROX	3/25/05						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added claims 63, 65-67 depend on the base claim 45, drawn to method of diagnosing a malignant neoplam, whrein said neoplasm is a hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). It is not clear how the newly added claims 63, 65-67, drawn to breast, colon, glioblastoma, or pancreatic cancer, etc., are related to the base claim 45, and thus require further consideration.